The third International School on Model Reduction for Dynamical Control Systems

Max Planck Institute for Dynamics of Complex Technical Systems Computational Methods in Systems and Control Theory 5–9 October 2015 Prof. Dr. Peter Benner Petar Mlinarić

Model Order Reduction via System Balancing

Exercise 1 (Controllability of dynamical systems)

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$. Show that the following statements are equivalent:

a) the pair (A, B) is controllable

(i.e. for all times $t_0, t_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, $t_0 < t_1$, and states $x_0, x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists u(t) such that the solution of the initial value problem $\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t), x(t_0) = x_0$, satisfies $x(t_1) = x_1$),

- b) the controllability matrix $\mathcal{C} = \begin{bmatrix} B & AB & \cdots & A^{n-1}B \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times nm}$ has full rank n,
- c) the controllability Gramian

$$P(t) = \int_{0}^{t} e^{A\tau} B B^{T} e^{A^{T}\tau} \,\mathrm{d}\tau$$

is positive definite for all t > 0.

Exercise 2 (The (infinite) controllability Gramian and a Lyapunov equation) Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be stable and $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. Prove that

$$X = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{At} Q e^{A^{T}t} \, \mathrm{d}t$$

is the unique solution of the Lyapunov equation

$$AX + XA^T + Q = 0.$$

Exercise 3 (Stability, controllability, and the Lyapunov equation) Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$. Prove that, of the following three statements, any two imply the third:

- a) A is a stable matrix,
- b) the pair (A, B) is controllable,
- c) the Lyapunov equation $AP + PA^T + BB^T = 0$ has a positive definite solution P.

Exercise 4 (Properties of the matrix sign function) For $Z \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with no eigenvalues on the imaginary axis and a Jordan canonical form

$$Z = S \begin{bmatrix} J^+ & \\ & J^- \end{bmatrix} S^{-1},$$

where $J^+ \in \mathbb{C}^{k \times k}$ and $J^- \in \mathbb{C}^{(n-k) \times (n-k)}$ respectively have eigenvalues in \mathbb{C}_+ and \mathbb{C}_- , we define the matrix sign function as

$$Z = S \begin{bmatrix} I_k & \\ & -I_{n-k} \end{bmatrix} S^{-1}$$

Show that:

- a) the matrix sign function is well-defined,
- b) $\operatorname{sign}(T^{-1}ZT) = T^{-1}\operatorname{sign}(Z)T$ for all nonsingular $T \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$,

- c) if Z is stable, then $sign(Z) = -I_n$ and $sign(-Z) = I_n$,
- d) $\operatorname{sign}(Z)^2 = I_n$, i.e. $\operatorname{sign}(Z)$ is a square root of the identity matrix,
- e) the Newton iteration $Z_0 = Z$, $Z_{i+1} = \frac{1}{2}(Z_i + Z_i^{-1})$, i = 0, 1, 2, ..., is a Newton iteration applied to the function $F(X) = X^2 I$.

Exercise 5 (Solving Sylvester equations via the matrix sign function) Consider the Sylvester equation

$$AX + XB + C = 0, (1)$$

with $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$, and $C \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$. Assume that A and B are stable matrices and that X is the solution of the equation (1).

a) Show that

$$\operatorname{sign}\left(\begin{bmatrix} A & C\\ 0 & -B \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} -I_n & 2X\\ 0 & I_m \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hint: Compute $T^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & -B \end{bmatrix} T$, for $T = \begin{bmatrix} I_n & X \\ 0 & I_m \end{bmatrix}$.

b) Show that instead of iterating on $\begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & -B \end{bmatrix}$, one can compute X via an iteration on A, B, C.

Exercise 6 (Implementing a Lyapunov equation solver)

Our goal here is to implement a solver, using matrix sign function Newton iteration, for the Lyapunov equation

$$AX + XA^T + W = 0, (2)$$

where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is a stable matrix.

a) Derive the iteration method

$$A_{0} = A, \quad A_{i+1} = \frac{1}{2} \left(A_{i} + A_{i}^{-1} \right),$$
$$W_{0} = W, \quad W_{i+1} = \frac{1}{2} \left(W_{i} + A_{i}^{-1} W_{i} A_{i}^{-T} \right)$$

for the equation (2) using the solution of the Exercise 5 b). Show that $A_i \to -I_n$ and $W_i \to 2X$.

- b) Implement a function lyap_sgn, applying the above iteration, with the matrices A and W, the maximum number of iterations maxit, and the tolerance tol for the stopping criterion $||A_i + I_n||_F < \text{tol}$ as inputs.
- c) Test your implementation on random examples by computing the relative error

$$\frac{\|AX + XA^T + W\|_F}{\|W\|_F}$$

and plotting how $||A_i + I_n||_F$ varies across iterations. Check if the approximate solution you find is symmetric (e.g. by computing $||X - X^T||_F$) for symmetric W.

Exercise 7 (Model reduction by balanced truncation)

Here we apply the balanced truncation method to the Clamped Beam model from the NICONET benchmark collection (you need to download beam.mat from [1]).

a) Compute the controllability and observability Gramians by solving the Lyapunov equations

$$AP + PA^T + BB^T = 0,$$

$$A^TQ + QA + C^TC = 0,$$

using the function lyap_sgn you implemented in the previous Exercise.

- b) Compute factorizations $P = S^T S$ and $Q = R^T R$.
- c) Compute the singular value decomposition $SR^T = U\Sigma V^T$.
- d) Plot the Hankel singular values.
- e) Find the reduced order model $(A_r, B_r, C_r) = (W_r^T A V_r, W_r^T B, C V_r)$, where

$$V_r = S^T U(:, 1:r) \Sigma(1:r, 1:r)^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$W_r = R^T V(:, 1:r) \Sigma(1:r, 1:r)^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

for some r.

f) Draw the log-log plots of $\omega \mapsto |H(i\omega)|$ and $\omega \mapsto |H_r(i\omega)|$, where

$$H(s) = C(sI_n - A)^{-1}B,$$

$$H_r(s) = C_r(sI_r - A_r)^{-1}B_r$$

are the transfer functions of the original and reduced model. Use 1000 logarithmically distributed sample points over the frequency interval $\omega \in [10^{-2}, 10^4]$.

g) Draw the log-log plot of $\omega \mapsto |H(i\omega) - H_r(i\omega)|$, same as in f), with a horizontal line for the upper bound of the \mathcal{H}_{∞} -error using Hankel singular values.

Exercise 8 (Balancing-free square root (BFSR) method)

For numerical reasons, the balancing-free square root (BFSR) algorithm is preferred to the method used in the previous Exercise. The difference is in the part e).

a) Compute the projection matrices

$$V_r = P_1$$
 and $W_r = Q_1 (P_1^T Q_1)^{-1}$,

where

$$S^T U_1 = P_1 \widehat{R}$$
 and $R^T V_1 = Q_1 \widetilde{R}$,

with $P_1, Q_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}$ orthogonal and $\widehat{R}, \widetilde{R} \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times r}$ upper-triangular.

b) Show that the reduced order system is equivalent to a balanced system and that it satisfies the same error bound as the one obtained by the standard square root balanced truncation method.

Exercise 9 (Low-rank Lyapunov equation solver) It is possible to combine parts a) and b) in Exercise 7.

a) For the Lyapunov equation

$$AX + XA^T + BB^T = 0,$$

derive the iteration method

$$A_{0} = A, \quad A_{i+1} = \frac{1}{2} \left(A_{i} + A_{i}^{-1} \right),$$

$$B_{0} = B, \quad B_{i+1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} B_{i} & A_{i}^{-1}B_{i} \end{bmatrix},$$

by setting $W_i = B_i B_i^T$ in Exercise 6 a).

- b) Since B_{i+1} has the twice the number of column as B_i , it is necessary to include column compression in the iterations. Implement a function col_comp that will perform this for an arbitrary matrix, using rank-revealing LQ decomposition or SVD, with specified error tolerance.
- c) Implement a Lyapunov equation solver lyap_sgn_fac, using the above iterations with column compression.

Exercise 10 (Solving algebraic Riccati equations via the matrix sign function) Motivated by balancing-related methods such as LQG balanced truncation, let us consider the algebraic Riccati equation

$$AX + XA^T - XFX + G = 0,$$

where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $F = F^T$, $G = G^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ are symmetric positive semi-definite matrices and (A, F) is stabilizable. Let

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} A & G \\ F & -A^T \end{bmatrix}$$

and assume that the matrix sign function of ${\cal M}$ is partitioned as

$$\operatorname{sign}(M) = \begin{bmatrix} Z_{11} & Z_{12} \\ Z_{21} & Z_{22} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Show that

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_n - Z_{11} \\ Z_{21} \end{bmatrix} X = \begin{bmatrix} Z_{12} \\ I_n - Z_{22} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hint: First show that

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} I_n - XQ & X \\ -Q & I_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A - XF & 0 \\ 0 & -(A - XF)^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_n - XQ & X \\ -Q & I_n \end{bmatrix}^{-1}$$

where Q solves $(A - XF)^T Q + Q(A - XF) + F = 0$. Then make use of the properties of the matrix sign function.

References

[1] http://slicot.org/20-site/126-benchmark-examples-for-model-reduction